

## MONTGOMERY COUNTY FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICE MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD.

## **COMMAND OFFICER BENCHMARKS**

Version 3.0 (12/05)

| DATE <sub>-</sub> | LOCATION   |          |    |    |
|-------------------|--|----------|----|----|
| TIME .            | COMMAND OFFICER  |          |    |    |
| EVALU             | JATORNATURE OF INCIDENTIN  | CIDENT#_ |    |    |
| •                 | Established or transfer of command, properly identified Level 2            |          |    |    |
|                   | command at a stationary command post via radio                             | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Announce location of command post  | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Used Tactical Work Sheet   | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Used Maps, Site Plan, Pre-Plans  | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Verified the status and location of all units operating on the fire-ground | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Verified Stand-by team in place  | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Assigned a Safety Officer  | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Established a Rapid Intervention Group                                     | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Developed Incident Action Plan (ie. Offensive, Defensive, Other) and       |          |    |    |
|                   | announced via radio  | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Requested appropriate additional resources and given tasks or              |          |    |    |
|                   | staged properly  | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Established appropriate Groups/Divisions to support & supervise critical   | YES      | NO | NA |
|                   | tactical positions and in a timely fashion                                 |          |    |    |
|                   | 1. Maintained accountability of those Groups/Divisions                     | YES      |    |    |
|                   | 2. Ensured units knew what Group/Division they were assigned               | YES      | NO | NA |
|                   | 3. Ensured Group/Division supervisors knew what units were                 |          |    |    |
|                   | assigned to them   | YES      |    |    |
|                   | 4. Ensured Group/Division/Branch provide progress report                   | YES      |    |    |
| •                 | Observed crew members with proper PPE, SCBA, light, and assigned tools     |          |    |    |
| •                 | All aspects of appropriate S.O.P.(s) followed                              | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Took necessary action to terminate any improper tactic or deviation from S |          |    |    |
|                   | or assigned objectives when necessary                                      | YES      |    |    |
| •                 | Maintained unit accountability throughout incident (ie. PAR)               | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Maintained a sense of duration of the incident in relation to fire volume  |          |    |    |
|                   | and type of building construction  | YES      |    |    |
| •                 | Supervised radio traffic   | YES      |    |    |
| •                 | Use of other talk groups   | YES      |    |    |
| •                 | Command vests used   | YES      |    |    |
| •                 | Coordinated fire attack  | YES      |    |    |
| •                 | Primary and Secondary searches completed                                   | YES      |    |    |
| •                 | Extension of fire spread controlled  | YES      | NO | NA |
| •                 | Coordinated ventilation  | YES      | NO | NA |

In any case where a "NO" was marked above, please comment on the problem involved, and what corrective action you took below or on the back: